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INTRODUCTION

A review is given on the abilities of the auditory system to localize and detect acoustic signals in silence and noisy environments. In recovering "wanted" signals from unwanted signals binaural interaction is essential. It has been well established today that three physical parameters play a major role: the head-related-transfer functions (HRTF) of the pinnae for sound incidence in the vertical and horizontal plane, interaural intensity differences (IID) and interaural time differences (ITD) for sound incidence in the horizontal plane (see Blauert, 1983, Colburn & Durlach, 1978, for extensive reviews).

Modern models of binaural interaction, dealing with these parameters, have in common a process of cross-correlation following cochlear frequency analysis (critical bands) to evaluate ITDs. They more or less deviate in their dealing with the combined presentation of ITDs and IIDs (e.g. Lindemann, 1986) and in the final process of detection of "wanted signals".

A model tat specifically deals with ITD-processing and the extraction of a wanted signal is the central-spectrum model (Bilsen, 1977; Raatgever and Bilsen, 1986). It postulates the extraction of a "central spectrum" from a central activity pattern (CAP) resulting from the cross-correlation process by pooling information across frequency, for a particular internal delay. Known spectral features such as spectral periodicity are used to select the wanted signal from the remaining (noisy) activity.

Present and future applications of head-related sound registration and binaural modeling in the field of noise control, signal detection and quality measurement, are shortly indicated in the closing section

LOCALIZATION VERSUS LATERALIZATION

Normally, we are able to localize sound sources in our environment with reasonable precision: the "localization blur" is in the order of 1 degree of arc for the horizontal plane, and 10 degrees for the vertical plane (see Blauert, 1983, for a detailed review). Physical parameters involved are the interaural intensity
difference (IID), the interaural time difference (ITD) and head-related transfer functions (HRTF) (see Fig. 1a and g). Although errors are sometimes made, the perceived sound source (the “auditory event”) is located in space at about the same position as the sound source (the “physical event”). Under daily-life conditions, IID, ITDs and HRTFs are coupled parameters.

In laboratory conditions, though, we are able to uncouple these parameters. If we present signals by headphones, the listener will generally locate the auditory event inside-the-head (IHL) instead of outside-the-head. Fig.1b sketches a traditional experimental set-up to study the effect of IID and ITD separately. Generally, the listener reports that, with a change in ITD (range: -1 to +1 ms) or a change in IID (range: -10 dB to +10 dB), the auditory event moves in the head along a line that connects the left and right ear; this is called lateralization. Just noticeable differences (JND) or lateralization blur are in the order of 0.01 ms and 1 dB respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outside-the-head</th>
<th>Inside-the-head (IHL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="Diagram" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Diagram" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Perception of signals from external sources (left column), and signals reproduced by headphones (right column): \( S = \text{signal}, \text{ITD} = \text{interaural time difference}, \text{IID} = \text{interaural intensity difference}, \text{HRTF} = \text{head-related transfer function}. \) For further explanation see text.

Generally, the time-fine-structure of a signal is effective in conveying ITD-information for frequencies below 1500 Hz, with a dominant region around 500 to 600 Hz (Bilsen & Raatgever, 1973). For higher frequencies, the signal
envelope is important, if the modulation frequency is lower than about 300 Hz. All (critical band filtered) signal parts play a role in IID-processing.

If a signal is presented by more than one loudspeaker (e.g. conventional stereo-set-up as given in Fig. 1c) one normally perceives the auditory event between the loudspeakers, as if there were a phantom source (This is called summing localization by Blauert, 1983). For time delays larger than about 1 ms between the signals, the precedence effect comes into play, i.e. the sound S is heard as coming from the direction of the loudspeaker of which the sound arrives first at the listener’s ears. The delays involved can be as large as 50 ms for speech, but only 5 ms for sound clicks. In the laboratory situation (Fig.1d) the perception is different. Image broadening (spaciousness) coupled with decreased accuracy in lateralization, and coloration (repetition pitch), are perceived (Bilsen, 1977; Salomons et al., 1991; Potter, 1993; see also Houtgast & Aoki, 1994).

If direction-dependent HRTFs are taken into account, it is possible to obtain correct outside-the-head localization with headphone presentation (see Fig. 1h). The results are optimal if the subjects own HRTFs are used in the calculation (simulation) of the sound field (e.g. Wightman & Kistler, 1992) and if head movements are appropriately incorporated in the simulation (Bronkhorst, 1993).

DETECTION

If more than one sound signal arrive at the ears of a listener at the same time, it will be more difficult for him to perceive the wanted sound. In Fig. 1e, for example, S1 may be a wanted source, e.g. a person talking to the listener, whereas S2 may be noise from another direction in the same room. Our ability to more easily detect the wanted sound using both ears instead of one ear, is called the cocktail-party effect. Apparently, the binaural system uses both ITDs and IIDs as well as spectral information (HRTFs) to separate the two signals and facilitate detection.

In the laboratory situation (Fig. 1f) the corresponding release of masking has been studied extensively. If the interaural time (or phase) relations are different for signal (S1) and masker (S2) a binaural masked level difference (BMLD) is measured with respect to the situation where both signal and masker have the same interaural phase. The BMLD can amount to 15 dB for sine tones in noise. The effect is maximum for frequencies around 500 Hz, the dominant frequency region. Durlach developed the Equalization and Cancellation theory to account for BMLDs in a quantitative way (see Colburn & Durlach, 1978, for a review, also of other detection theories). A theory that specifically deals with the detection of wide-band (sub) signals in noise is the central spectrum theory.

CENTRAL SPECTRUM THEORY

Dichotic pitch phenomena have influenced our thinking about binaural interaction significantly. Bilsen and Goldstein (1974) have shown that the similarity of dichotic and monotic repetition pitch with the low-pitch of
normal periodic signals requires the existence of centrally generated spectral patterns with resolved (lower) harmonics. From such spectral patterns pitch is extracted by pattern recognition (Bilsen, 1977).

Later experiments on the lateralization of the dichotic-pitch images of Huggins pitch (HP), Fourcin pitch (FP) and MPS-pitch (Raatgever and Bilsen, 1977; Raatgever, 1980) revealed that these pitch images behave like pure "time images", as postulated by Hafter and Jeffress (1968) for lateralized signals. This led us to conclude that, for wide-band signals, the time image is the result of spectral-pattern recognition in which information is pooled across frequency for particular interaural delays. Based on the Jeffress (1948) scheme, a central spectrum (CS) theory of binaural processing was developed that incorporates both interaural cross-correlation and central spectrum processing (Bilsen, 1977; Raatgever and Bilsen, 1986). Recently, the related concept of "straightness" was introduced by Stern and Trahiotis (1991).

The CS-theory is a simplified analytical model for the processing of interaural delay or phase differences for low-frequency (<1500 Hz) signals. Following the Jeffress (1948) scheme of binaural interaction, filtered cochlear signals from one ear are added along internal (neural) tapped-delay lines to the undelayed signals from corresponding cochlear outputs of the contralateral ear. After squaring, a continuum arises of power (mimicking neural activity) versus frequency and internal delay, the so-called central activity pattern (CAP).

In the extended CS-model, envelope information (for frequencies above 1500 Hz) undergoes a similar cross-correlation process as postulated for the low-frequency time-fine structure (Raatgever & van Keulen, 1992).

![Figure 2. Central activity pattern (CAP) for antiphase white noise](image)

To illustrate the extended CS-model, in Fig.2 a CAP is given for antiphase white noise. For low frequencies it shows the (diffuse) ambiguous lateralization
due to time-fine structure, while at high frequencies a central ridge can be seen
due to the natural signal envelopes in the (critical band filtered) noise that
remain unaffected by the interaural antiphasic condition. This ridge leads to a
centrally located image of the high frequencies.

During the oral presentation, examples of CAPs of dichotic-pitch stimuli
will be presented that show the ability of the binaural system to select particular
("wanted") signal parts from the remaining (diffuse) noise. As such, the
binaural strategy of the central spectrum theory might well be an appropriate
algorithm for measurements and future applications in binaural technology.

**BINAURAL TECHNOLOGY**

It is well-known today that the (A-weighted) sound pressure level alone is
not an adequate predictor of loudness, noise load, or annoyance. Other
parameters like spectral composition, temporal structure, spatial distribution of
sound sources play a significant role also.

In a study by Notbohm et al. (1992) the responses of subjects to noises
recorded and presented conventionally were compared with the responses to
sounds recorded by means of an artificial head (see also Genuit, 1991; Hellbrück
& Kilcher, 1993). Clear evidence was found that, as far as industrial noise is
concerned, spatial distribution of noise sources has a significant influence on
physiological responses. Contrary to a single omni-directional microphone, the
artificial head appears able to convey important perceptual aspects like
direction of sound incidence, masking, movement of sound sources, etc.

Also for the study and evaluation of the sound quality of technical
products in real and virtual acoustic situations, the head-related recording or
calculation (simulation) of sound fields might be very useful.

A different application of binaural modeling might be the reduction of
disturbing noises for hard-of-hearing peoples in a cocktail-party situation.
Besides the use of directional microphone arrays (e.g. Soede et al., 1993a,b)
signal processing algorithms based on binaural-hearing strategies might be
applied in hearing aids to obtain the necessary improvement of the signal-to-
noise ratio (Kollmeier, 1992; Peissig, 1992; Bodden, 1993).
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